IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 7054 of 2005

Between:

B. Mohan Reddy, S/o. Narayana,
Post Gundaram, Village Lakshmapur, Nizamabad Mandal and

District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of A.P., Represented by its Secretary,
Finance and Planning Department, A.P. Secretariat,

Hyderabad.
2 The A.P. Seeds Development Corporation Limited, Rep by its

Managing Director, HACA Bhavan, IInd Floor, Hyderabad.
3 The Assistant Manager, A.P. Seeds Development,
Corporation Limited, Sarangapur, Nizamabad District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to call for the records from the respondents herein by issuing a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature in the nature of Writ of mandamus by declaring the inaction of the respondents in not considering the case of the petitioner for Regularisation in terms of G.O.Ms.No. 212, Finance and Planning (FWPC-III) Department, dt. 22-4-1994 by giving effect to the Award passed in I.D. N. 151 of 1996 dt. 5-11-1999 published on 30-12-1999 vide G.O.Rt. No. 2404 dt. 15-12-1999 as arbitrary, illegal and contrary to law and also violation of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to consider petitioner's case for regularisation in terms of G.O.Ms.No. 212, Finance and Planning (FWPC-III) Department, dt. 22-4-1994.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.P.SRIDHAR RAO
Counsel for the Respondent No.1: G.P. FOR LABOUR
Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3: S.SHAMSUNDER RAO

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

The petitioner, in this writ petition, seeks a direction to the respondents to consider his case for regularization in terms of G.O.Ms.No.212, Finance and Planning (FWPC-III) Department, dated 22.04.1994.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing for respondents.

The second respondent has to take appropriate decision as to whether the petitioner is entitled for regularization or not. Therefore, without entering into merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondents 2 and 3 to take appropriate decision within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

(K.C.BHANU,J)

Dt:4th April, 2005

Note:CC in two days

bo

usd

To

1 The Secretary, Government of A.P.,
Finance and Planning Department, A.P. Secretariat,

Hyderabad.
2 The Managing Director, A.P. Seeds Development Corporation

Limited, HACA Bhavan, IInd Floor, Hyderabad.
3 The Assistant Manager, A.P. Seeds Development,
Corporation Limited, Sarangapur, Nizamabad District.

4 Two CD copies

5 Two CCS to G.P. for Labour, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad

(OUT).